

# Precision Seed Management for Enhancing Efficiency, Productivity, and Profitability of Irrigated Cropping Systems

L. Longchamps, and R. Khosla

## Abstract

Spatial variability exists in most farming context and farmers are trying to find ways to further increase their productivity by managing this variability. Among various input management options, variable rate seeding appears as a logical way to manage in-field spatial variability. This study investigates the possibility of increasing crop productivity by optimizing seed rate based on soil fertility levels of productivity potential of various parts of the field. Corn was planted at different planting densities in a research field at Colorado State University. Soil properties were characterized for the entire study area and yield was recorded using a combine harvester equipped with a yield monitor. Results confirm that the relationship between yield and seed rate follows a quadratic curve. Results also indicate that optimal plant population and maximal yields were attained in parts of the field with higher fertility (e.g. higher yield class) than in locations of lower fertility (e.g. lower yield class).

## Introduction

Within field spatial variability of soil chemical and physical properties exists in most agronomic environments around the world (Mzuku et al., 2005; Cao et al., 2012; Fig 1). There are many natural and anthropogenic (human-made) reasons ranging from geological properties, landscape positions or climate, to as simple as uneven hand broadcast of inputs in small scale farming, or merging of fields with different cropping history in medium to large scale farming (Tittonell et al., 2005; Stafford, 2006; Wu, 2013). Over the last two decades of precision farming, precision agronomists and soil scientists have developed methods to quantified spatial variability that exists at the field scale. This has led to the realization that employing average values for managing crop inputs often over-estimates prescription in some parts of the field and under-estimates it in other parts of the field. To avoid these over and under estimates, agronomists and farmers are trying to develop site-specific crop management techniques that will enable them to manage the spatial variability that exists in their fields.

Plant population appears as a legitimate component of site-specific crop management to address spatial variability existing in crop fields. There is a growing interest in variable-rate seeding among farmers and practitioners. This, in part, is driven by increasing seed prices. As seed companies stack additional desirable traits into future crop varieties, the cost of seed will




Figure 1. A field showing spatial variability in soil properties as measured by grid soil sampling.

continue to rise. Hence, the technology to vary seed rate coupled with sound scientific knowledge will chart the way to make cropping more productive, efficient, and profitable. Some may argue that technology to vary seeding rate has arrived, others may rightly point out that science to support the decision making process to gainfully use the current technology is lacking. The goal of this study was to experiment variable rate application of seed in a field and assess the effect on yield. The specific objective was to determine the optimal seed population for various classes of soil fertility.

## **Materials and Methods**

This study was conducted in Colorado during the 2014 crop growing season (from April 2014 to October 2014). The climate of north-eastern Colorado is considered semi-arid as it receives less precipitation than potential evapotranspiration. However, 2014 received 1" above than normal level of precipitations during the crop growing season. The 22 acre field is located at the Colorado State University's Agricultural Research Development and Education Center, Fort Collins, Colorado (40° 40' 38.24" N, 104° 58' 44.76" W). The soil at this site is classified as a fine-loamy, mixed, superactive, mesic, Aridic Haplustalf (Soil Survey Staff, 1980). Based on soil samples, texture was classified as a sandy clay loam. The slope is lower than 2% in a single plane gradient. This site has a history of continuous maize production for ten years with conventional tillage. Corn hybrid Dekalb 4620 was planted at a population of 20000, 27000, 34000, 41000 and 48000 plant/ac (depending on plant population treatment strips) on April 29<sup>th</sup> 2014. Seeds were planted using a precision planter in long strips crossing the entire field. The sequence of the population strips was randomly assigned. A rate of 100 lbs/ac of 11-52-0 fertilizer was applied in early spring (April 1<sup>st</sup>), followed by a rate of 75 lbs N/ac at planting and 150 lbs N/ac at growth stage V8 of the crop. Irrigation was supplied with a sprinkler irrigation system to compensate crop evapotranspiration using the web-based irrigation scheduler eRams ([www.eRams.com](http://www.eRams.com)).

### ***Crop population data***

Plant population targets were programmed in a 6 rows Monosem (NG+3 Series) precision vacuum planter. This planter is equipped with sensors that monitor the actual seed rate at every location of the field and creates an "as-applied" map of plant population (Fig. 2). This map was used, rather than the target map, to analyze the data.




Figure 2. As applied map of the plant population.

### *Yield data*

Corn was harvested with a 6-rows Case IH combine harvester equipped with a yield monitor on October 30<sup>th</sup> at corn maturation.

### *Data analysis*

Yield data were cleaned to remove outliers using an algorithm rejecting all data above and below the average plus or minus three times the standard deviation. Yield was clustered in two classes based on productivity potential. The low group was below average while the high group was above yield average. A quadratic function was used to model the relationship between yield and plant population, forcing the intercept to 0 on the basis that at plant population zero, the yield has to be zero. The maximum of the quadratic function was considered as the optimal plant population to maximize yield. Soil properties were monitored at the location of each yield data point using geographic information software. The soil properties investigated were: % sand, % silt, % clay, % organic matter, cation exchange capacity, soluble salts, nitrates, phosphorus, potassium, magnesium and calcium. A Student's *t*-test was used to compare soil properties between the low and high yield data with a level of significance of 0.05.

### **Results**

Grain yield ranged from 100 to 215 bu/ac. The quadratic relationship between plant population and yield was strong for the whole dataset, which is consistent with observations in other studies on the effect of seed rate on yield (Coulter et al., 2010; Woli et al., 2014). When dividing the dataset in lower and higher yield based on yield average, it was possible to observe a higher optimal plant population for the higher yield dataset than for the lower yield dataset (Fig. 3). For the lower yield dataset, the optimal plant population was 37,500 plants per acre with a maximal modeled yield of 159 bu/ac. For the higher yield dataset, the optimal plant population was 40,550 plants per acre with a maximal modeled yield of 172 bu/ac. These results are consistent with

observations of Doerge et al. (2015) who observed an increase in optimum economic seeding rate by increasing location yield level.




Figure 3. Relationship between plant population and yield for two yield classes (lower or higher than yield average). Vertical lines indicate optimal plant population for each yield class.

As per Student's *t*-test, several soil properties were significantly different between the locations of the lower yield level and the locations of the higher yield level (data not presented). In general, soil properties in the higher yield locations tended to indicate a more fertile soil (e.g. higher organic matter and phosphorus content, higher CEC, etc. in the higher yield locations). However, even though Student's *t*-test *p*-values showed strong significant differences between the two classes, in an agronomic perspective, the differences are not considered very strong. Nevertheless, a synergetic combination of all these factors may have explained the higher yield obtained in these locations and hence the potential to reach higher yield with higher seed rate.

In conclusion, variable seed rate stands as a potent way to manage spatial variability in soil properties existing at field scale. This study showed that the relationship between plant population and yield follows a quadratic function where an optimal plant population can be applied to reach maximum yield. A higher level of fertility such as higher phosphorus or higher organic matter content can potentially indicate a higher optimal seed rate.

## Literature cited

- Cao, Q., Z. Cui, X. Chen, R. Khosla, T.H. Dao, and Y. Miao. 2012. Quantifying spatial variability of indigenous nitrogen supply for precision nitrogen management in small scale farming. *Precis. Agric.* 13(1): 45–61.
- Coulter, J.A., E.D. Nafziger, M.R. Janssen, and P. Pedersen. 2010. Response of Bt and Near-Isoline Corn Hybrids to Plant Density. *Agron. J.* 102(1): 103–111.
- Doerge, T., M. Jeschke, and P. Carter. 2015. Planting Outcome Effects on Corn Yield. *Crop Insights* 25(1): 1–7.
- Mzuku, M., R. Khosla, R. Reich, D. Inman, F. Smith, and L. MacDonald. 2005. Spatial variability of measured soil properties across site-specific management zones. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 69(5): 1572–1579.
- Stafford, J.V. 2006. The role of technology in the emergence and current status of precision agriculture. *Handb. Precis. Agric. Princ. Appl.*: 19–56.
- Tittonell, P., B. Vanlauwe, P.A. Leffelaar, E.C. Rowe, and K.E. Giller. 2005. Exploring diversity in soil fertility management of smallholder farms in western Kenya: I. Heterogeneity at region and farm scale. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 110(3–4): 149–165.
- Woli, K.P., C.L. Burras, L.J. Abendroth, and R.W. Elmore. 2014. Optimizing Corn Seeding Rates Using a Field's Corn Suitability Rating. *Agron. J.* 106(4): 1523.
- Wu, J. 2013. Landscape Ecology. p. 179–200. *In* Leemans, R. (ed.), *Ecological Systems*. Springer New York.